

MAY-11-2004 16:58

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

1723

P. 01

MAY 10 2004

OFFICIAL

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jun-Rong Lin

Serial No.: 10/010,059

Filed : 11/08/2001

Title: EXTRUSION MOLDING MACHINE

Examiner: COOLEY,CHARLES E
Group Art Unit: 1723

Dear Dr. COOLEY, CHARLES E:

Good Morning

In response to your letter date 04/15/2004 (enclosed)

Please notice that my application is SMALL ENTITY (enclosed).

Please let me know the last date for payment and please confirm it ought to be US\$55.

Request for Constructive Assistance

The applicant has made a diligent effort in amending the original claim and specification in order to put the present claim and specification in to condition for allowance. Should the Examiner find any more area in need of correction, the applicant requests for constructive suggestions from the Examiner as pursuant to MPEP 706.03(d), and more particularly, if further objections be found with the claim and specification, for the Examiner to draft one or more allowable claims as pursuant to MPEP 707.7(j).

Respectfully submitted,

Jun-Rong Lin

Applicant

Jun-Rong Lin

P.S. PLEASE ADVISE WHEN IS THE DUE DATE
TO PAY THE EXPIRY FEE US# 55 ?



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED:	

INFORMALITY RE PAYMENT OF FEE

The informality regarding the payment of the fee in connection with the original filing fee the amendment filed 3/31/04 is indicated below.

A. FEE DUE

1. The amendment is considered incomplete in that the funds in Deposit Account No. _____ are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance is due within the period set below.
2. The amendment is considered an incomplete response, in that payment of \$ _____ is insufficient to cover the claims as shown in the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record. Remittance is due within the period set below.
3. The amendment has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record. Remittance or authorization is due within the period set below.
4. The filing fee of \$ _____ submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$ _____ is due for additional claims.
5. Amendment is not timely. *Timely extension is needed to process.*

APPLICANT IS GIVEN THE REMAINDER OF THE SET PERIOD FOR RESPONSE, OR ONE (1) MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, WITHIN WHICH TO REMIT THE FEE OF \$ 110.00.

B. EXCESS PAYMENT:

5. It is noted that payment of \$ _____ is in excess of the amount necessary to cover the claims now in the application. See the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record.

This matter of refund or credit to your account is being referred to the Finance Officer, for his consideration.

J. French, Jr., Jr.
CLERK OF GROUP




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,059	11/08/2001	Jun-Rong Lin	PLUSA210907	7843
7590	04/15/2004			
Jun-Rong Lin No. 2, Alley 492 Hal Tien Rd. Sec. 2 Tainan, TAIWAN			EXAMINER	COOLEY, CHARLES E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY.DOCKET.NO	DRAWINGS	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
10/010,059	11/08/2001	1722	370	PUSA210907	7	6	1

CONFIRMATION NO. 7843

FILING RECEIPT

Jun-Rong Lin
 58, MA YUAN WEST ST.
 TAICHUNG,
 TAIWAN



OC00000000733842

Date Mailed: 01/22/2002

Receipt is acknowledged of this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

Applicant(s)

Jun-Rong Lin, Taichung, TAIWAN;

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant**Foreign Applications**

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted 01/18/2002

Projected Publication Date: 05/08/2003

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

** SMALL ENTITY **

Title

Extrusion molding machine

**Preliminary Class**

425

LICENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UNDER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Gao-Shan Chen

Serial No.:10/446,845

Examiner: BARROW, JAMES G

Filed : 05/29/2003

Group Art Unit: 3749

Title: FOLDABLE CONDUCTION OVEN (II)

Attn: DR. BARROW, JAMES G

2004/05/11

Good morning

1. On USPTO internet website PAIR, I found a final rejection aimed my application was mailed from USPTO date 03/10/2004.
2. Still I am waiting the arrival of final rejection today 05/11/2004.
3. Would you kindly send it again or send by fax to 886-6-245-1110.
4. One thing for sure, it is impossible for me to response before the due date as requested on your rejection notice. Is not it?
5. Could it be possible for you do me a big favor: reminding those who are in charge of department of delivery mail in USPTO really CONSIDER the expected arrival date to any one like me, independent inventor, filed application OUTSIDES U.S.A and AWAITING mail outsides U.S.A. I am sure you will understand "Even one-day delay will incur immense damage to my application"
6. IF send by regular mail from USPTO, it will take 30-40 to arrvc here! Please give foreign application a fair chance!

Thanks and awaiting your reply

Best regards



GAO-SHAN CHEN

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Kao-San Chen

Serial No.: 10/251,869

Filed : 09/17/2002

Title: EXTENSIBLE LEG FRAME

Examiner: CHAN , KO HUNG

Group Art Unit: 3632

Dear Dr. Chan, Ko Hung:

In response to your letter date 03/29/2004 (enclosed)

Please kindly let me know if I have to pay the extension fee or not?

If I have to pay ; Please let me know how many fee I have to pay ?

Is it US\$55 or 110 or more I have to pay ?

On 04/26/2004; I received your letter mailing date 03/29/2004.

I do know it is all in vain that even I complaint about it. But I have to repeat my statement : " there is no way for anyone to reply before the due date if he/she received the letter later than the due date !!"

Could you kindly pass this message to any one who concerning?

I think USPTO sign contract with private dispatch system here (outside USA); I did not receive your letter from official postman, I believe that is why it takes one month for me to receive your letter.

Request for Constructive Assistance

The applicant has made a diligent effort in amending the original claim and specification in order to put the present claim and specification in to condition for allowance. Should the Examiner find any more area in need of correction, the applicant requests for constructive suggestions from the Examiner as pursuant to MPEP 706.03(d), and more particularly, if further objections be found with the claim and specification, for the Examiner to draft one or more allowable claims as pursuant to MPEP 707.7(j).

Respectfully submitted,



Applicant

Kao-San Chen



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/251,869	09/17/2002	KOA-SAN CHEN NO. 2 ALLEY 492 HAI TEIN ROAD SEC. 2 TAINAN, TAIWAN	CHIYUAN YIH INTERNATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LIMITED COMPANY 收 93. 04. 26 發 台南市海佃路二段492巷2號 TEL:06-2 4 5 1 1 2 9 FAX:06-2 4 5 1 1 1 0 統一編號: 8 0 4 7 4 4 1 7	PUSA020824	4233
7590	03/29/2004				EXAMINER CHAN, KO JUNG
			ART UNIT 3632	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------



EXAMINER	
----------	--

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

03222004

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This communication is to notify applicant that the Examiner received a forwarded email on March 18, 2004 in which applicant wrote to a third party, not of record, concerning matters relating to applicant's application pending before the Office. Since the third party was not applicant's representative on record, examiner could not reply to such email.

The previous Office letter indicated that applicant's amendment filed was Non-compliant, and applicant was given one month to respond to the Office letter. Once the one month period has passed, applicant still has the option of buying extensions of time up to the maximum statutory period of six months from the date of the mailing of the notice of Non-compliance (February 6, 2004). Consequently, applicant can file another amendment in compliant format (see previous Office letter regarding responses to examiner's Office actions) along with the required fees for the extensions of time.

Examiner advises applicant to review the information regarding buying extensions of time in the Information regarding procedures in responding to an Examiner's Office Action in the previous Office Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khc
March 23, 2004

Korie H. Chan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3632